

Quantum description for a chiral condensate disoriented in a certain direction in isospace

S. Maedan *

Department of Physics, Tokyo National College of Technology, Tokyo 193-0997, Japan

Abstract

We derive a quantum state of the disoriented chiral condensate dynamically, considering small quantum fluctuations around a classical chiral condensate disoriented in a certain direction $\vec{n}$ in isospace. The obtained non-isosinglet quantum state has the characteristic features; (i) it has the form of the squeezed state, (ii) the state contains not only the component of pion quanta in the direction $\vec{n}$ but also the component in the perpendicular direction to $\vec{n}$ and (iii) the low momentum pions in the state violate the isospin symmetry. With the quantum state, we calculate the probability of the neutral fraction depending on the time and the pion's momentum, and find that the probability has an unfamiliar form. For the low momentum pions, the parametric resonance mechanism works with the result that the probability of the neutral fraction becomes the well known form approximately and that the charge fluctuation is small.

PACS number(s): 11.30.Rd, 12.39.Fe, 25.75.-q, 11.10.Ef

*Email address: maedan@tokyo-ct.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

The possibility of realizing the disoriented chiral condensate (DCC) has been discussed [1,2,3,4,5]. It is expected that the formation of the DCC would be discovered in the experiments at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), where an extremely high temperature is realized. The experimental evidence of the DCC supports the idea of the dynamical chiral phase transition in QCD which is one of the most important concept in hadron physics. In the ordinary QCD vacuum, the chiral condensate has isospin $I = 0$. However, under the extreme high temperature such as in the experiments at RHIC, it will be possible to form the domain of the DCC. In the DCC, a chiral condensate does not align in the $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle = \langle \sigma \rangle$ direction in chiral space. The chiral condensate is disoriented in a certain direction $\vec{n}$ in isospace, $\vec{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3)$.

It was pointed out by Rajagopal and Wilczek [6] for the first time that there is a possibility to form a domain of the DCC. They carried out numerical simulation in the classical $O(4)$ linear sigma model which is an effective theory of QCD. Their simulation shows that, after quenching, long wavelength modes of the pion fields are amplified. After quenching, the field $\phi = (\sigma, \vec{\pi})$ roll down randomly in chiral space from the top of the Mexican hat potential, therefore ϕ does not align in the σ direction in general. We assume that the DCC, namely, a field configuration ϕ which does not align in the σ direction, is formed. The DCC then relaxes to the true vacuum ($\langle \sigma \rangle, \vec{0}$) due to the existence of the current quark mass. That decay of the DCC proceeds with the emission of pions.

Kowalski and Taylor have studied the quantum state of the DCC [4]. Since the classical wave picture corresponds to the coherent state, they consider the quantum coherent state of pions. The coherent state will contain the state of arbitrarily large charge, so they suggested that the quantum state of the DCC has isospin $I = 0$. That isosinglet quantum state leads to the probability of the neutral fraction

$$P(f) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $f \equiv N_{\pi^0}/(N_{\pi^0} + N_{\pi^+} + N_{\pi^-})$. On the other hand, in order to describe the DCC, Amado and Kogan [7] have considered the quantum squeezed state. They have proposed various squeezed state descriptions of the DCC and studied their features. Unfortunately, however, the concrete dynamical mechanism of how such coherent or (nonisosinglet) squeezed state emerges was not discussed[†] in Ref. [4] or Ref. [7]. So far we have discussed the DCC not aligned in the σ direction, which will be a natural consequence of the quench scenario. One can consider another situation, where a classical chiral condensate aligns in the σ direction and oscillates. In this case, it is shown that the parametric resonance mechanism works [8,9,10,11,12] and an isosinglet squeezed quantum state is derived dynamically [9]. The classical chiral condensate has isospin $I = 0$ because one has assumed that it aligns in the σ direction.

[†]In Ref. [7], an isosinglet squeezed state of the pions are derived dynamically by quantizing in the Hartree approximation; $\phi^2 \rightarrow \langle \phi^2 \rangle(t)$. However, a nonisosinglet squeezed state with arbitrary isospin direction is given by an ansatz.

By observing the emitted pions from the DCC, one can obtain information on it. Since what we observe in the experiment is quanta of pions, it is very important to study quantum state of the DCC. Furthermore, the quantum state should be derived dynamically, not by an ansatz. Such derivation allows us to investigate the property of the DCC quantum state and to calculate observable physical quantities. In this paper, we discuss how the quantum state of the DCC emerges dynamically by use of the $O(4)$ linear sigma model. The formation of a classical DCC, i.e., a classical chiral condensate disoriented in a certain direction $\vec{n}$ in isospace is assumed. The decay process of the DCC is concerned. We also study the property of the obtained DCC quantum state. In order to derive the explicit form of the quantum state, some approximations have been made by which we obtain a nonisosinglet squeezed quantum state of the DCC. We have assumed that the classical chiral condensate disoriented in a certain direction $\vec{n}$ in isospace is formed. According to this assumption, it seems that the quantum DCC state will be described by the component of pion quanta in the direction $\vec{n}$ in isospace. If so, when $\vec{n} = (1, 0, 0)$, the quantum state is described only by a quantum π_1 . This is, however, a wrong guess. As shown later, the quantum state contains not only the component of pion quanta in the direction $\vec{n}$ but also the component in the perpendicular direction to $\vec{n}$. Obtained quantum state is nonisosinglet, hence there exists the fluctuation of the charge, which we estimate. The probability of the neutral fraction $P(f(\mathbf{k}, t))$ depending on the momentum $\mathbf{k}$ of pion and the time t is also calculated. We show that a parametric resonance mechanism [13] works for low momentum pions $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_\pi$. It is interesting to examine the influence of that mechanism on the probability $P(f(\mathbf{k}, t))$.

The plan of this paper is the following. In Sec. II, the classical theory of the $O(4)$ linear sigma model is presented. We first assume that a classical field configuration not aligned in the σ direction is formed. The equations of motion for small fluctuations around that configuration are derived. Although these (coupled) equations of motion are complicated, one can rewrite them very simple form by changing the coordinate in isospace. In Sec. III, the pions' fluctuations discussed in Sec. II are quantized. Neglecting the higher order terms of the quantum fluctuations, we obtain the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian, which we consider the quantum state of the DCC. In Sec. IV, by use of the obtained nonisosinglet quantum state of the DCC, the fluctuation of the charge Q and the probability of the neutral fraction $P(f(\mathbf{k}, t))$ depending on $\mathbf{k}$ and t are calculated. In Sec. V, we show that the parametric resonance mechanism works for low momentum pions, and examine the effect of that mechanism on the fluctuation of Q or the probability $P(f(\mathbf{k}, t))$. Sec. VI is devoted to the summary and the discussion. The effects of the quantum state of σ or the quantum back reaction are discussed.

II. DIAGONALIZATION OF THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION IN ISOSPACE

The lagrangian of the $O(4)$ linear sigma model is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\phi^2 - v^2)^2 + H\sigma, \quad (2.1)$$

with $\phi = (\sigma, \vec{\pi})$. Because of the explicit chiral symmetry breaking term $H\sigma$ which originates from the current quark mass, the true vacuum is $\phi = (f_\pi, \vec{0})$ where f_π satisfies $\lambda f_\pi (f_\pi^2 -$

$v^2) - H = 0$. Around the true vacuum, the pions $\vec{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3)$ have mass $m_\pi = \sqrt{H/f_\pi}$ and the sigma σ has $m_\sigma = \sqrt{\lambda(3f_\pi^2 - v^2)}$. The charged pions $\pi^\pm$ are represented with $(\mp\pi_1 + i\pi_2)/\sqrt{2}$ and the neutral pion π^0 with π_3 . Let us consider the field $\phi = (\sigma, \vec{\pi})$ around the true vacuum $(f_\pi, \vec{0})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(x) &= f_\pi + \chi(x), \\ \vec{\pi}(x) &= \vec{\pi}(x).\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

The equations of motion for $\vec{\pi}(x)$ and $\chi(x)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\partial_\mu\partial^\mu + m_\pi^2 + \lambda\{2f_\pi\chi + \chi^2 + (\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi})\}\right] \vec{\pi} &= 0, \\ \left[\partial_\mu\partial^\mu + m_\sigma^2 + \lambda\{3f_\pi\chi + \chi^2 + (\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi})\}\right] \chi &= -\lambda f_\pi (\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

To a zeroth approximation, the equations of motion become

$$\begin{aligned}[\partial_\mu\partial^\mu + m_\pi^2] \vec{\pi}^{(0)} &= 0, \\ [\partial_\mu\partial^\mu + m_\sigma^2] \chi^{(0)} &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

where we have neglected the quadratic or cubic terms in the fields. Since we are interested in the DCC not aligned in the σ direction, we assume the following field configuration,

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) &= \pi^{(0)}(t) \vec{n}, \\ \chi^{(0)} &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\vec{n} = (\sin\theta \cos\phi, \sin\theta \sin\phi, \cos\theta),\tag{2.6}$$

is a fixed unit vector in isospace. The field $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}$ is assumed to be homogeneous for simplicity. Within a given DCC domain, this supposition seems reasonable. Of course, for a DCC domain of finite size in 3 dimensional space, the field $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}$ depends on $\mathbf{x}$. We, however, consider the homogeneous $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}$ for simplicity.

Next, around these $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}$ and $\chi^{(0)}$, we consider fluctuations $\vec{\pi}^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(1)}$ which will be quantized in the next section. Keeping the terms no more than quadratic in the $\vec{\pi}^{(1)}$ or $\chi^{(1)}$ field [8], we get the equations of motion describing the time development of $\vec{\pi}^{(1)} = (\pi_1^{(1)}, \pi_2^{(1)}, \pi_3^{(1)})$ and $\chi^{(1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\partial^2 + m_\pi^2 + \lambda(\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \cdot \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t))\right] \vec{\pi}^{(1)}(x) + 2\lambda\{\vec{\pi}^{(1)}(x) \cdot \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t)\} \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \\ = -2\lambda f_\pi \chi^{(1)}(x) \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t),\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\partial^2 + m_\sigma^2 + \lambda(\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \cdot \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t))\right] \chi^{(1)}(x) \\ = -\lambda f_\pi \{(\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \cdot \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t)) + 2(\vec{\pi}^{(1)}(x) \cdot \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t))\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

These simultaneous equations of $\pi_1^{(1)}, \pi_2^{(1)}, \pi_3^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(1)}$ are so complicated that it is difficult to handle. However, such complicated equations can be rewritten in a simple form if we

represent the fluctuations $\vec{\pi}^{(1)}$ by new coordinates $\pi_i'^{(1)}$ introduced as follows. The vector $\vec{\pi}^{(1)}$ is expressed with an orthonormalized basis ($\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3$),

$$\vec{\pi}^{(1)} = \pi_1^{(1)} \vec{e}_1 + \pi_2^{(1)} \vec{e}_2 + \pi_3^{(1)} \vec{e}_3. \quad (2.9)$$

Now, introducing a new orthonormalized basis ($\vec{e}_1', \vec{e}_2', \vec{e}_3' \equiv \vec{n}$), one can also express $\vec{\pi}^{(1)}$ as

$$\vec{\pi}^{(1)} = \pi_1'^{(1)} \vec{e}_1' + \pi_2'^{(1)} \vec{e}_2' + \pi_3'^{(1)} \vec{e}_3'. \quad (2.10)$$

A relation between $\vec{e}_i$ and $\vec{e}_i'$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{e}_i' \cdot \vec{e}_j &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \phi & \cos \theta \sin \phi & -\sin \theta \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ \sin \theta \cos \phi & \sin \theta \sin \phi & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}_{ij} \\ &\equiv (M)_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where M is an orthogonal matrix. If $\vec{n} = \vec{e}_3$, we choose $\vec{e}_i' = \vec{e}_i$ and $M_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. The new coordinates $\pi_i'^{(1)}$ are expressed by the orthogonal matrix M ,

$$\pi_i'^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^3 (M)_{ij} \pi_j^{(1)}. \quad (2.12)$$

In what follows, we always write $\sum_i$ when the isospin index is summed. The equations of motion are given in terms of the new coordinates $\pi_i'^{(1)}$,

$$\left[\partial^2 + m_\pi^2 + \lambda'_1 \{ \pi^{(0)}(t) \}^2 \right] \pi_1'^{(1)} = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\left[\partial^2 + m_\pi^2 + \lambda'_2 \{ \pi^{(0)}(t) \}^2 \right] \pi_2'^{(1)} = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\left[\partial^2 + m_\pi^2 + \lambda'_3 \{ \pi^{(0)}(t) \}^2 \right] \pi_3'^{(1)} = -2\lambda f_\pi \pi^{(0)}(t) \chi^{(1)}, \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\left[\partial^2 + m_\sigma^2 + \lambda \{ \pi^{(0)}(t) \}^2 \right] \chi^{(1)} = -\lambda f_\pi \left[\{ \pi^{(0)}(t) \}^2 + 2\pi^{(0)}(t) \pi_3'^{(1)} \right], \quad (2.16)$$

where "coupling constants" λ'_i are defined as

$$(\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3) = (\lambda, \lambda, 3\lambda). \quad (2.17)$$

In these equations, since $\pi_1'^{(1)}$, $\pi_2'^{(1)}$ and $\pi_3'^{(1)}$ are independent from each other, one can analyze the equations of motion much easier than those expressed with $\pi_i^{(1)}$. The $\pi_3'^{(1)}$ is the component in the direction $\vec{n}$ in isospace. It is noteworthy that, while $\pi_{1,2}'^{(1)}$ are the components perpendicular to $\vec{n}$, $\pi_{1,2}'^{(1)}$ "couple" to $\pi^{(0)}(t)$.

If $\vec{\pi}^{(1)}$ grows very large, the approximated equations of motion Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) do not hold, because we derive these equations neglecting the terms which are quadratic and cubic in the $\vec{\pi}^{(1)}$ or $\chi^{(1)}$ fields. When $\vec{\pi}^{(1)}$ becomes large, one should take the back reaction into account, i.e., the effects of the higher terms in the $\vec{\pi}^{(1)}$ field. In this paper, we consider the case where the amplitude D of $\pi^{(0)}(t)$ is small. The smaller the amplitude D is, the better the approximation of Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) becomes. This will be discussed in the last section VI. In the later sections III, IV and V, the effects of the back reaction are not considered.

III. QUANTUM STATE OF THE DCC

In this section, we derive the quantum state of the DCC. The conventional picture of the DCC decay is as follows. After quenching, the field configuration $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}$ violating the isospin symmetry is realized, which is called DCC. The field configuration then relaxes to the true vacuum $(f_\pi, \vec{0})$ with the emission of pions. We shall follow this conventional picture. At first, we assume that the classical field configuration $\phi = (f_\pi, \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t))$ violating the isospin symmetry is formed, where $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) = \pi^{(0)}(t) \vec{n}$ points the direction $\vec{n}$, Eq. (2.6). The next assumption is that the classical DCC, $\phi = (f_\pi, \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t))$, relaxes to the true vacuum $(f_\pi, \vec{0})$,

$$\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.1)$$

We study the quantum fluctuations around the field configuration $\phi = (f_\pi, \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t))$, that is, $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t)$ is regarded as the classical back ground and we quantize $\pi_i'^{(1)}$.

In the equations of motion for quantum fluctuations $\pi_i'^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(1)}$, one can consider the term $m_{\pi,\sigma}^2 + \lambda_i' \{\pi^{(0)}(t)\}^2$ as a "mass parameter" depending on the time t . The violation of the isospin symmetry arises from the different behavior between $\pi_3'^{(1)}$ and $\pi_{1,2}'^{(1)}$ in Eqs. (2.13) – (2.16). Namely, (a) the "coupling constant" λ_3' is three times as much as $\lambda_{1,2}'$ and (b) among $(\pi_1'^{(1)}, \pi_2'^{(1)}, \pi_3'^{(1)})$, the component $\pi_3'^{(1)}$ only couples to the sigma field $\chi^{(1)}$. While the sigma field $\chi^{(1)}$ has no isospin, it can have a influence upon the isospin violation through the interaction with $\pi_3'^{(1)}$. In this paper, however, we focus on only the fact (a) for simplicity. The $\chi^{(1)}$'s effect on the component $\pi_3'^{(1)}$ is not treated, i.e., we set the right-hand side (rhs) of Eq. (2.15) zero. If the interaction between $\pi_3'^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(1)}$ is taken into consideration, the equations of motion are too complicated to handle. The effect of (b) will be discussed in the last section VI. Since we do not consider the contribution of $\chi^{(1)}$ and set the rhs of Eq. (2.15) zero, the equations of motion for $\pi_i'^{(1)}$ in the momentum space become

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 \right] \pi_i'^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, t) = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 \equiv \mathbf{k}^2 + m_\pi^2 + \lambda_i' \{\pi^{(0)}(t)\}^2. \quad (3.3)$$

The isospin violation comes from $\lambda_3' \neq \lambda_{1,2}'$.

The explicit form of the quantum state of DCC can be derived due to neglect of the higher order terms of the quantum fluctuations and the contribution of $\chi^{(1)}$. Here we do not practice the self-consistent approximation, e.g., the Hartree-Fock approximation, which will be a future task. The classical field configuration $\pi^{(0)}(t)$ is then regarded as given.

A. Hamiltonian

Expanding the pion fields as

$$\pi_i'^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \hat{Q}_i(\mathbf{k}, t), \quad (3.4)$$

we have the Hamiltonian,

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int d^3k \left[\frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_i(\mathbf{k}, t) \hat{P}_i(-\mathbf{k}, t) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 \hat{Q}_i(\mathbf{k}, t) \hat{Q}_i(-\mathbf{k}, t) \right], \quad (3.5)$$

from which the equations of motion Eq. (3.2) are derived. This Hamiltonian describes the oscillators with time dependent $\Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$. A quantization formalism of such system is presented by Hiro-oka and Minakata [9], and we shall follow it. We write as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}_i(\mathbf{k}, t) &= Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t) a'_i(\mathbf{k}) + Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t)^* a_i'^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}), \\ \hat{P}_i(\mathbf{k}, t) &= \dot{Q}_i(\mathbf{k}, t) a'_i(-\mathbf{k}) + \dot{Q}_i(\mathbf{k}, t)^* a_i'^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is a complex solution of

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 \right] Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t) = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3). \quad (3.7)$$

a'_i and $a_i'^{\dagger}$ are the time independent annihilation and the creation operators, respectively. If the Wronskian condition

$$Q_j \dot{Q}_j^* - \dot{Q}_j Q_j^* = i, \quad (3.8)$$

is satisfied, one has consistent relations as

$$\begin{aligned} [a'_i(\mathbf{k}), a_j'^{\dagger}(\mathbf{l})] &= \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}), \\ [\hat{Q}_i(\mathbf{k}, t), \hat{P}_j(\mathbf{l}, t)] &= i \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Substitution of Eq. (3.6) into Eq. (3.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} H = \sum_i \int d^3k \frac{1}{2} & \left[A_i(\mathbf{k}, t) a'_i(\mathbf{k}) a'_i(-\mathbf{k}) + A_i^*(\mathbf{k}, t) a_i'^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a_i'^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) \right. \\ & \left. + B_i(\mathbf{k}, t) (a_i'^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a'_i(\mathbf{k}) + a'_i(\mathbf{k}) a_i'^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_i(\mathbf{k}, t) &\equiv \dot{Q}_i^2 + \Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 Q_i^2, \\ B_i(\mathbf{k}, t) &\equiv \dot{Q}_i \dot{Q}_i^* + \Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 Q_i Q_i^* > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

B. The system at $t \rightarrow \infty$

We are interested to express the DCC quantum state by the pion quanta defined on the true vacuum $(f_\pi, \vec{0})$. Let us consider the Hamiltonian when the classical field configuration $\phi = (f_\pi, \vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t))$ becomes $(f_\pi, \vec{0})$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$. The assumption (3.1) gives

$$\Omega_1(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 = \Omega_2(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 = \Omega_3(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 = m_\pi^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 \equiv \omega^2, \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.12)$$

At this limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ with the choice of a solution

$$Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (3.13)$$

$A_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$ becomes zero and the Hamiltonian has a diagonal form,

$$H = \sum_i \int d^3k \frac{\omega}{2} [a_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a'_i(\mathbf{k}) + a'_i(\mathbf{k}) a_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k})]. \quad (3.14)$$

Substituting the solution $Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$ into $\pi_i'^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, one gets at $t \rightarrow \infty$;

$$\pi_i'^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2\omega}} [a'_i(\mathbf{k}) e^{i(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x} - \omega t)} + a_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x} - \omega t)}]. \quad (3.15)$$

One can easily see that $a'_i(\mathbf{k})$ introduced in Eq. (3.6) are the operators which diagonalize the Hamiltonian if the classical back ground field vanishes, $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \rightarrow 0$.

Now, the charged pion's quanta $\pi^\pm$ or the neutral one π^0 have an immediate connection with the field $\pi_i^{(1)}$, not with $\pi_i'^{(1)}$. At the limit $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow \infty$), the $\pi_i^{(1)}$ obeying the equation of motion (2.7) becomes a free field and can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2\omega}} [a_i(\mathbf{k}) e^{i(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x} - \omega t)} + a_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x} - \omega t)}], \\ [a_i(\mathbf{k}), a_j^\dagger(\mathbf{l})] &= \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

The Fock vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is defined by use of $a_i(\mathbf{k})$,

$$a_i(\mathbf{k})|0\rangle = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

namely, $|0\rangle$ has been defined on the true vacuum configuration $\phi = (f_\pi, \vec{0})$. A one-particle state of the charged pion $\pi^\pm$ (the neutral pion π^0) with moment $\mathbf{k}$ is represented by $a_\pm^\dagger(\mathbf{k})|0\rangle$ ($a_3^\dagger(\mathbf{k})|0\rangle$), where

$$a_\pm(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{\mp a_1(\mathbf{k}) + i a_2(\mathbf{k})\}. \quad (3.18)$$

The relation between these operators $a_i(\mathbf{k})$ and $a'_i(\mathbf{k})$ introduced in Eq. (3.6) will be necessary, for we are interested in expressing the DCC quantum state by $a_\pm^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_3^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$. The relation (2.12) yields

$$a'_i(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_j (M)_{ij} a_j(\mathbf{k}), \quad (3.19)$$

and this also leads to $a'_i(\mathbf{k})|0\rangle = 0$.

C. Derivation of quantum state

With nonvanishing classical DCC $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \neq 0$, the Hamiltonian (3.10) has nondiagonal terms because of $A_i(\mathbf{k}, t) \neq 0$. As stressed by Amado and Kogan [7], a squeezed state arises from the nondiagonal terms $a'_i a'_i$ and $a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger$ in the Hamiltonian. In order to find a energy eigenstate, we consider the Bogolyubov transformation;

$$\begin{aligned} b_i(\mathbf{k}, t) &= S_i(\mathbf{k}, t) a'_i(\mathbf{k}) S_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, t) \\ &= \cosh \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t) a'_i(\mathbf{k}) + e^{-i\varphi_i} \sinh \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t) a_i^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}), \\ b_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, t) &= S_i(\mathbf{k}, t) a_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) S_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, t) \\ &= \cosh \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t) a_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) + e^{i\varphi_i} \sinh \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t) a'_i(-\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where $S_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is a unitary operator ;

$$\begin{aligned} S_j(\mathbf{k}, t) \equiv \exp \Big[&\{\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t) e^{i\varphi_j(\mathbf{k}, t)}\} a'_j(\mathbf{k}) a'_j(-\mathbf{k}) \\ &- \{\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t) e^{-i\varphi_j(\mathbf{k}, t)}\} a_j^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a_j^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \Big]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

If one chooses the parameters $\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\varphi_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tanh 2\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t) &= \frac{|A_j(\mathbf{k}, t)|}{B_j(\mathbf{k}, t)}, \quad (\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t) \geq 0), \\ A_j(\mathbf{k}, t) &\equiv |A_j(\mathbf{k}, t)| \exp \{i \varphi_j(\mathbf{k}, t)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

the Hamiltonian is diagonalized [9],

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int d^3\mathbf{k} \frac{1}{2} \Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t) \left\{ b_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, t) b_i(\mathbf{k}, t) + b_i(\mathbf{k}, t) b_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, t) \right\}. \quad (3.23)$$

The eigenstate $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ of H ,

$$b_i(\mathbf{k}, t) |\Phi(t)\rangle = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(t)\rangle &= \prod_{\mathbf{k}} S_1(\mathbf{k}, t) S_2(\mathbf{k}, t) S_3(\mathbf{k}, t) |0\rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 \int d^3\mathbf{k} \left[\{\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t) e^{i\varphi_j}\} a'_j(\mathbf{k}) a'_j(-\mathbf{k}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \{\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t) e^{-i\varphi_j}\} a_j^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a_j^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \right] \right\} |0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

This state is known as the squeezed state where $\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\varphi_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$ are called the squeezing parameter and the phase parameter, respectively. We regard this $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ as the quantum state of the DCC. The expectation value

$$\langle \Phi(t) | a_i'^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a_j'(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle = \delta_{ij} \sinh^2 \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t), \quad (3.26)$$

shows that the mean number of quanta $a_i'^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$ in the state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ is given by $\sinh^2 \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$. If the Wronskian condition is satisfied, one has [9],

$$\sinh^2 \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{B_i(\mathbf{k}, t) - \Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)}{2 \Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)}. \quad (3.27)$$

The time development of $\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$ or $\varphi_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is determined by the equation of motion of $Q_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$. Since $\Omega_1(\mathbf{k}, t) = \Omega_2(\mathbf{k}, t)$, we get

$$\theta_1(\mathbf{k}, t) = \theta_2(\mathbf{k}, t), \quad \varphi_1(\mathbf{k}, t) = \varphi_2(\mathbf{k}, t). \quad (3.28)$$

By use of the relation (3.19), the DCC quantum state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ is represented by $a_{\pm}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$, $a_3^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$, polar angles (θ, ϕ) , $\theta_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\varphi_j(\mathbf{k}, t)$ reflecting the dynamics of the system. One can easily check that $|\Phi(t = \infty)\rangle = |0\rangle$. Obtained DCC quantum state (3.25) has the following characteristic features:

1. The quantum state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ contains not only the component $a_3'^{\dagger}$ of pion quanta in the classical DCC $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}$ direction $\vec{n}$, but also the component $a_{1,2}'^{\dagger}$ in the perpendicular direction to $\vec{n}$.
2. The quantum state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ is not isosinglet. Since $\lambda_3' \neq \lambda_{1,2}'$ in the equations of motion, it becomes $\theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \neq \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$.
3. The quantum state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ contains almost no pion with large momentum $|\mathbf{k}| \gg m_{\pi}$. If $|\mathbf{k}| \gg m_{\pi}$, one has $\Omega_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \approx \mathbf{k}^2 \approx \Omega_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \approx \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$. Because $\Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is almost independent of time, we have $|\theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t)| \ll 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

IV. CHARGE FLUCTUATION AND THE PROBABILITY OF THE NEUTRAL FRACTION

Since our DCC quantum state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ is not isosinglet, there are $U(1)$ charge Q fluctuations and the neutral fraction $f = N_{\pi^0}/(N_{\pi^0} + N_{\pi^+} + N_{\pi^-})$ deviates from $1/3$. These quantities are important when the DCC is concerned. In this section, making use of the quantum state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ obtained in the previous section, we calculate the charge fluctuations $\langle \Phi(t) | Q^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle$ and the probability of the neutral fraction $P(f)$.

The isospin I_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and the charge Q is given as

$$I_i = \int d^3\mathbf{k} I_i(\mathbf{k}), \quad Q = \int d^3\mathbf{k} Q(\mathbf{k}), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_i(\mathbf{k}) &= -i \epsilon_{ijl} a_j^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a_l(\mathbf{k}), \\ Q(\mathbf{k}) &= I_3(\mathbf{k}) = a_+^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a_+(\mathbf{k}) - a_-^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a_-(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= N_{\pi^+}(\mathbf{k}) - N_{\pi^-}(\mathbf{k}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The total number operator $N(\mathbf{k})$ and the neutral fraction $f(\mathbf{k}, t)$ are

$$N(\mathbf{k}) \equiv N_{\pi^0}(\mathbf{k}) + N_{\pi^+}(\mathbf{k}) + N_{\pi^-}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (4.3)$$

$$f(\mathbf{k}, t) \equiv \frac{\langle \Phi(t) | N_{\pi^0}(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle}{\langle \Phi(t) | N_{\pi^0}(\mathbf{k}) + N_{\pi^+}(\mathbf{k}) + N_{\pi^-}(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $N_{\pi^0}(\mathbf{k}) = a_3^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a_3(\mathbf{k})$. For the later calculations, it is useful to define the following operators

$$I'_i(\mathbf{k}) \equiv -i \epsilon_{ijl} a_j^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a'_l(\mathbf{k}), \quad (4.5)$$

whose relation with $I_i(\mathbf{k})$ is

$$I_i(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{j=1}^3 (M^T)_{ij} I'_j(\mathbf{k}). \quad (4.6)$$

The unit vector $\vec{n}$ of the classical DCC $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}$ points in some fixed direction in isospace. Before examining the general case of $\vec{n} = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$, we consider the simple case of $\vec{n} = (0, 0, 1)$. In this case, the form of $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ becomes rather simple and it will help to understand how the quantum state is constructed.

A. The case of $\vec{n} = (0, 0, 1)$

The classical field $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}$ points in the direction of the neutral pion π^0 when $\vec{n} = (0, 0, 1)$. We then expect that the state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ becomes an eigenstate of the charge Q with an eigenvalue zero, which will be confirmed below. Since $a_i = a'_i$ and $I_i = I'_i$ in the case of $\vec{n} = (0, 0, 1)$, one can use I'_i as the isospin I_i . We can easily show that

$$I'_3 |\Phi(t)\rangle = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

which means $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the charge $Q = I_3 = I'_3$. This fact can be seen by observing the form of $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ which contains the following term,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\{\theta_1(\mathbf{k}, t) e^{-i\varphi_1}\} \{a_+^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a_-^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) + a_-^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a_+^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})\} |0\rangle \\ & +\{\theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) e^{-i\varphi_3}\} a_3^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a_3^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) |0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

invariant under $a_+^\dagger \leftrightarrow a_-^\dagger$. The pairs (π^+, π^-) and (π^0, π^0) are contained in the state, but (π^+, π^0) or (π^+, π^+) is not. The isospin of the state is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(t) | \vec{I}'(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle \Phi(t) | I_1'^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle &= \langle \Phi(t) | I_2'^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

and the neutral fraction is

$$f(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sinh^2 \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t)}. \quad (4.10)$$

These calculations are useful in the general case of $\vec{n} = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$.

B. The case of $\vec{n} = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$

The expectation values of $\vec{I}(\mathbf{k})$ and $Q(\mathbf{k}) = I_3(\mathbf{k})$ are zero,

$$\langle \Phi(t) | \vec{I}(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Phi(t) | Q(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

However, $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ is not an eigenstate of the charge Q in general. With the help of the relation, $Q = \sum_{j=1}^3 (M^T)_{3j} I'_j$, the charge fluctuation can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Phi(t) | Q^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle \\ &= \sin^2 \theta \times \int d^3 \mathbf{k} \left[\cosh^2 \theta_2(\mathbf{k}, t) \sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) + \cosh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \sinh^2 \theta_2(\mathbf{k}, t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \cosh \theta_2(\mathbf{k}, t) \sinh \theta_2(\mathbf{k}, t) \cosh \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \sinh \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \times 2 \cos\{\varphi_3(\mathbf{k}, t) - \varphi_2(\mathbf{k}, t)\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

The relation between the fluctuation of the charge and that of the isospin is

$$\langle \Phi(t) | Q^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{2} \langle \Phi(t) | \vec{I}^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle, \quad (4.13)$$

where Eqs. (4.6) and (4.9) have been used.

The neutral fraction is calculated by use of Eq. (3.19),

$$f(\mathbf{k}, t) = \alpha_{\parallel}(\mathbf{k}, t) \cos^2 \theta + \alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t) \sin^2 \theta, \quad (4.14)$$

where we have defined

$$\alpha_{\parallel}(\mathbf{k}, t) \equiv \frac{\langle \Phi(t) | a_3^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a'_3(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle}{\sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \Phi(t) | a_i^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a'_i(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle} = \frac{\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sinh^2 \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t)}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t) \equiv \frac{\langle \Phi(t) | a_1^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a'_1(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle}{\sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \Phi(t) | a_i^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a'_i(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle} = \frac{\sinh^2 \theta_1(\mathbf{k}, t)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sinh^2 \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t)}. \quad (4.16)$$

The $\alpha_{\parallel}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ ($\alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t)$) represents the contribution of the pion component in the direction $\vec{n}$ (in the perpendicular direction to $\vec{n}$). If the state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ contained no pion component in the perpendicular direction to $\vec{n}$, it would become $\alpha_{\parallel}(\mathbf{k}, t) = 1$, $\alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t) = 0$, and $f(\mathbf{k}, t) = \cos^2 \theta$. When $\sinh^2 \theta_1 \leq \sinh^2 \theta_3$ ($\alpha_{\perp} \leq 1/3 \leq \alpha_{\parallel}$), the neutral fraction is within the range $\alpha_{\perp} \leq f \leq \alpha_{\parallel}$. We expect all directions $\vec{n} = (x, y, z)$ in isospace are equally likely, then the probability of the neutral fraction becomes [7]

$$\begin{aligned} P(f(\mathbf{k}, t)) &= N \int dx dy dz \delta(1 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2) \\ & \quad \times \delta \left(f - \frac{z^2}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \alpha_{\parallel} - \frac{(y^2 + z^2)}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \alpha_{\perp} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha_{\parallel}(\mathbf{k}, t) - \alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(\mathbf{k}, t) - \alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

The $P(f)$ has a maximum at $f = \alpha_\perp$ and a minimum at $f = \alpha_\parallel$. The charge fluctuation $\langle \Phi(t) | Q^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle$ and $P(f(\mathbf{k}, t))$ are determined by the squeezing parameter $\theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and the phase parameter $\varphi_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$ which are known from the equations of motion (3.7).

Before investigating the dynamics for $\theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\theta_1(\mathbf{k}, t) = \theta_2(\mathbf{k}, t)$, we shall consider two extreme cases. The first case is that $\theta_3 = \theta_1(= \theta_2)$ and $\varphi_3 = \varphi_1(= \varphi_2)$. In this case, one obtains

$$\langle \Phi(t) | Q^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle = 0, \quad (4.18)$$

$$f(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad (\alpha_\parallel = \alpha_\perp = \frac{1}{3}), \quad (4.19)$$

which are natural results in the isospin symmetric case. Because of $\Omega_3 \neq \Omega_{1,2}$ ($\lambda'_3 \neq \lambda'_{1,2}$) in the equations of motion, θ_3 is not equal to $\theta_{1,2}$ in general. However, such extreme case will be realized approximately if $t \rightarrow \infty$ ($\Omega_3 \approx \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m_\pi^2} \approx \Omega_{1,2}$) or $|\mathbf{k}| \gg m_\pi$ ($\Omega_3 \approx |\mathbf{k}| \approx \Omega_{1,2}$). The second case is that $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \gg \sinh^2 \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \gg 1$. In this case, the charge fluctuation is small,

$$\langle \Phi(t) | Q^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle \ll \langle \Phi(t) | N | \Phi(t) \rangle^2. \quad (4.20)$$

Moreover, we have

$$f(\mathbf{k}, t) \approx \cos^2 \theta, \quad (\alpha_\parallel \approx 1, \alpha_\perp \approx 0), \quad (4.21)$$

by which

$$P(f) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}}. \quad (4.22)$$

Therefore, if the relation $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \gg \sinh^2 \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is realized, the probability $P(f)$ becomes the well known form. In the next section, we consider the dynamics for $\theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$.

V. PARAMETRIC RESONANCE FOR LOW MOMENTUM PIONS

If the $P(f)$ shows some deviation from $\delta(f - 1/3)$ in the experiments, it suggests that the DCC is formed. It is desirable that the parameters take the value $\alpha_\parallel \approx 1$ and $\alpha_\perp \approx 0$ in Eq. (4.17) so as to distinguish the probability distribution $P(f)$ clearly from $\delta(f - 1/3)$. As mentioned in the previous section, it becomes $P(f) \approx 1/2\sqrt{f}$ if the great difference $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \gg \sinh^2 \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is realized by the dynamics. The time development of $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t)$ differs from that of $\sinh^2 \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$, because the coupling constant λ'_3 is not equal to $\lambda'_{1,2}$ in the equations of motion (3.7), i.e., $\lambda'_3 = 3\lambda'_{1,2}$ ($\Omega_3 \neq \Omega_{1,2}$). Is it possible that such a small difference in coupling constant gives rise to a great difference between $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\sinh^2 \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$? For the low momentum pion modes, it is indeed possible by the parametric resonance mechanism. In this section, we show that the parametric resonance works for low momentum pions and examine its effect on $P(f)$ and the fluctuation of the charge $\langle \Phi(t) | Q^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle$.

We have assumed in Sec. III that the classical DCC ϕ relaxes to the true vacuum, $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow \infty$). At short intervals of time, however, we can approximate that $\pi^{(0)}(t)$ oscillates with a constant amplitude D ,

$$\pi^{(0)}(t) \approx D \cos(m_\pi t), \quad (5.1)$$

then

$$\Omega_i(\mathbf{k}, t)^2 \approx \mathbf{k}^2 + m_\pi^2 + \frac{\lambda'_i}{2} D^2 + \frac{\lambda'_i}{2} D^2 \cos(2 m_\pi t). \quad (5.2)$$

Let us consider the small amplitude D such that

$$m_\pi^2 \gg \frac{\lambda'_i}{2} D^2. \quad (5.3)$$

We neglect the third term in Eq. (5.2), but not the fourth term which produces the parametric resonance. Furthermore, we restrict the pion modes with $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_\pi$. In what follows, $\mathbf{k}$ represents the low momentum $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_\pi$ in this section. With the approximation, the equation of motion (3.7) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + m_\pi^2 + \frac{\lambda'_i}{2} D^2 \cos(2 m_\pi t) \right] Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t) \\ &\equiv \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + m_\pi^2 \left\{ 1 + h_i \cos(2 m_\pi t) \right\} \right] Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t), \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$h_i \equiv \frac{1}{m_\pi^2} \frac{\lambda'_i D^2}{2} \ll 1. \quad (5.5)$$

Eq. (5.4) is called the Mathieu equation [16], whose unstable solution increases rapidly with time. Although the initial condition must be given to obtain a solution $Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$, we do not know at the moment what the initial condition of the quantum fluctuation $\hat{Q}_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$ should be. Here, since we are interested in whether $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \gg \sinh^2 \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is realized by the dynamics or not, we choose the initial condition of $Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$ so as to hold $\sinh^2 \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) for $t = 0$. A solution of Eq. (5.4) up to $\mathcal{O}((h_i)^0)$ is [16]

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i(\mathbf{k}, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m_\pi}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{h_i m_\pi}{4} t\right) \left\{ \cos\left(m_\pi t + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(h_i) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - i \exp\left(-\frac{h_i m_\pi}{4} t\right) \left\{ \sin\left(m_\pi t + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(h_i) \right\} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

which satisfies the Wronskian condition up to $\mathcal{O}((h_i)^0)$. The parameters defined in Eq. (3.11) are

$$\begin{aligned} A_i(\mathbf{k}, t) &= m_\pi \sinh\left(\frac{h_i m_\pi}{2} t\right) + \mathcal{O}(h_i), \\ B_i(\mathbf{k}, t) &= m_\pi \cosh\left(\frac{h_i m_\pi}{2} t\right) + \mathcal{O}(h_i). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

The squeezing parameter and the phase parameter are then obtained by Eq. (3.22) ,

$$\sinh^2 \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t) = \sinh^2 \left(\frac{h_i m_\pi}{4} t \right) + \mathcal{O}(h_i), \quad (5.8)$$

$$\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = 0 + \mathcal{O}(h_i). \quad (5.9)$$

Our solution (5.6) satisfies the required condition, $\sinh^2 \theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t = 0) = 0$ up to $\mathcal{O}((h_i)^0)$. Using the obtained squeezing parameter $\theta_i(\mathbf{k}, t)$, we can easily check that $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \gg \sinh^2 \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ as follows,

$$\frac{\sinh^2 \theta_1(\mathbf{k}, t)}{\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t)} = \frac{\sinh^2 \left(\lambda'_1 \frac{D^2 t}{8 m_\pi} \right)}{\sinh^2 \left(\lambda'_3 \frac{D^2 t}{8 m_\pi} \right)} + \mathcal{O}(h_i) = \frac{1}{\left[3 + 4 \sinh^2 \left(\frac{\lambda D^2}{8 m_\pi} t \right) \right]^2} + \mathcal{O}(h_i). \quad (5.10)$$

Even when $t \approx 0$, the ratio is about 0.11 and it decreases rapidly with time. Thus, the small difference in coupling constant $\lambda'_3 = 3\lambda'_{1,2}$ produces the great difference $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \gg \sinh^2 \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ through the parametric resonance.

By use of the solution (5.6) which reflects the parametric resonance, we shall calculate the fluctuation of the charge and the probability of the neutral fraction for the low momentum pion with $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_\pi$. Note that Eq. (5.6) is the solution of the equation of motion neglecting the higher order terms of the fluctuations (back reaction terms). We will discuss a range of applicability which this solution has, in Sec. VI. Bearing this in mind, we first calculate the charge fluctuation (4.12) of the low momentum pion with $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_\pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(t) | Q^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle &= \sin^2 \theta \left[\cosh \left(\frac{\lambda D^2}{8 m_\pi} t \right) \sinh 3 \left(\frac{\lambda D^2}{8 m_\pi} t \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \cosh 3 \left(\frac{\lambda D^2}{8 m_\pi} t \right) \sinh \left(\frac{\lambda D^2}{8 m_\pi} t \right) \right]^2 + \mathcal{O}(h_i). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

The total number of pion with low momentum $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_\pi$ is

$$\langle \Phi(t) | N(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle \approx \sinh^2 3 \left(\frac{\lambda D^2}{8 m_\pi} t \right) + 2 \sinh^2 \left(\frac{\lambda D^2}{8 m_\pi} t \right) + \mathcal{O}(h_i). \quad (5.12)$$

Now, with the help of an inequality, $2 [\cosh x \sinh 3x - \cosh 3x \sinh x]^2 < [2 \sinh^2 x + \sinh^2 3x]$, ($x > 0$), we get

$$\langle \Phi(t) | Q^2 | \Phi(t) \rangle \leq \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{2} \langle \Phi(t) | N(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle. \quad (5.13)$$

Therefore, the fractional root mean square charge fluctuation of the low momentum pion is of order $\sin \theta / \sqrt{2 \langle \Phi(t) | N(\mathbf{k}) | \Phi(t) \rangle}$ and is negligible when the total pion number is large. Next, we focus on $P(f(\mathbf{k}, t))$. Because of $\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t) \gg \sinh^2 \theta_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}, t)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\perp(\mathbf{k}, t) &\approx \frac{\sinh^2 \theta_1(\mathbf{k}, t)}{\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t)} = \frac{1}{\left[3 + 4 \sinh^2 \left(\frac{\lambda D^2}{8 m_\pi} t \right) \right]^2} + \mathcal{O}(h_i), \\ \alpha_\parallel(\mathbf{k}, t) &\approx 1 - \frac{2 \sinh^2 \theta_1(\mathbf{k}, t)}{\sinh^2 \theta_3(\mathbf{k}, t)} = 1 - \frac{2}{\left[3 + 4 \sinh^2 \left(\frac{\lambda D^2}{8 m_\pi} t \right) \right]^2} + \mathcal{O}(h_i). \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Even when $t \approx 0$, these quantities are $\alpha_{\perp} \approx 0.11$, $\alpha_{\parallel} \approx 0.78$ and they rapidly converge with time as $\alpha_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$, $\alpha_{\parallel} \rightarrow 1$. Therefore, we have

$$P(f) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}}, \quad (5.15)$$

for the low momentum pion.

Thus, the parametric resonance mechanism works for the low momentum pion with $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_{\pi}$ with the result that the fractional root mean square charge fluctuation $\sqrt{\langle Q^2 \rangle} / \langle N \rangle$ is negligible when $\langle N \rangle$ is large and that the probability of the neutral fraction becomes

$$P(f) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha_{\parallel} - \alpha_{\perp}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{f - \alpha_{\perp}}} \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}}. \quad (5.16)$$

VI. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We studied the quantum aspect of the disoriented chiral condensate (DCC) by use of the $O(4)$ linear sigma model. We derived a quantum state of the DCC dynamically, considering small quantum fluctuations around a classical DCC on the assumption that the classical chiral condensate is in a direction $\vec{n}$ in isospace. The properties of the obtained nonisosinglet quantum state, the charge fluctuation and the probability of the neutral fraction are investigated.

The obtained DCC quantum state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ has the following characteristic features; (i) it has the form of the squeezed state, (ii) the state contains not only the component of pion quanta in the direction $\vec{n}$ but also the component in the perpendicular direction to $\vec{n}$ and (iii) the low momentum pions with $|\mathbf{k}| < m_{\pi}$ in the state violate the isospin symmetry. With the quantum state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$, we find the probability of the neutral fraction $P(f(\mathbf{k}, t))$ becomes

$$P(f(\mathbf{k}, t)) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha_{\parallel}(\mathbf{k}, t) - \alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(\mathbf{k}, t) - \alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t)}}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\alpha_{\parallel}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ are determined by the dynamics. For the low momentum pions with $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_{\pi}$, the parametric resonance mechanism works with the result that (a) the charge fluctuation of the pion quanta is negligible if the total pion number is large, and (b) it becomes $\alpha_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t) \approx 0$, $\alpha_{\parallel}(\mathbf{k}, t) \approx 1$ and

$$P(f(\mathbf{k}, t)) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}}. \quad (6.2)$$

Now, the low and high momentum approximations for the probability of the neutral fraction are

$$P(f(\mathbf{k}, t)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}}, & |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_{\pi} \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha_{\parallel} - \alpha_{\perp}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{f - \alpha_{\perp}}}, & |\mathbf{k}| \sim \mathcal{O}(m_{\pi}) \\ \delta(f - \frac{1}{3}), & |\mathbf{k}| \gg m_{\pi} \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

The probability $P(f(\mathbf{k}, t))$ has an unfamiliar form $1/2\sqrt{\alpha_{\parallel} - \alpha_{\perp}}\sqrt{f - \alpha_{\perp}}$ because the component of the pion quanta in the perpendicular direction to $\vec{n}$ contributes, $\alpha_{\perp} \neq 0$. For the low momentum pions with $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_{\pi}$, $P(f(\mathbf{k}, t))$ takes the well known form $1/2\sqrt{f}$ approximately by the parametric resonance mechanism. In order to observe the DCC, it is better to measure the low momentum pions.

Because we neglect the sigma field $\chi^{(1)}$ and the effect of the quantum back reaction, the explicit form of the DCC quantum state $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ can be obtained. Henceforth, we discuss these effects which are disregarded in our calculations. In Sec. III, the sigma $\chi^{(1)}$ in the equation of motion (2.15) has been neglected. Here, let us consider the equation of motion with $\chi^{(1)}$ qualitatively in order to estimate the effect of $\chi^{(1)}$ on the time development of $\pi_3^{(1)}(Q_3(\mathbf{k}, t))$. Without neglecting the sigma $\chi^{(1)}$, the equation of motion (5.4) in terms of $Q_3(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is replaced by

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + m_{\pi}^2 \left\{ 1 + h_3 \cos(2m_{\pi} t) \right\} \right] Q_3(\mathbf{k}, t) = -2\lambda f_{\pi} \pi^{(0)}(t) \chi^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, t), \quad (6.4)$$

where $0 < |\mathbf{k}| \ll m_{\pi}$. A general solution of this inhomogeneous equation is given by the sum of a homogeneous solution and a particular solution. Since the homogeneous solution (5.6) increases exponentially with time, a solution of Eq. (6.4) should increase rapidly with time. Therefore, for the low momentum mode, the instability of $Q_3(\mathbf{k}, t)$ will not be affected by including the sigma $\chi^{(1)}$. We will also comment on the sigma's quanta. When the quantum state of the sigma is considered in the DCC quantum state, it is necessary to take into account the decay $\sigma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ which contributes to $\langle N_{\pi} \rangle$ and $P(f)$.

Next, we discuss the effect of the quantum back reaction. If the quantum fluctuation grows to be large, one can not neglect the higher order terms of those fluctuation which will affect on the chiral condensate's time development or the expectation values of physical quantity. In Sec. III, calculations including the quantum back reaction were not carried out but we have assumed that the classical chiral condensate damps, $\vec{\pi}^{(0)}(t) \rightarrow 0 (t \rightarrow \infty)$. This assumption looks reasonable if the references Ref. [14] and Ref. [15] are considered, in which the calculations with the quantum back reaction are carried out. In these references, the chiral condensate and the quantum fluctuation are treated in the self-consistent way, and it is shown numerically that the chiral condensate damps.

Finally, we concern about the time τ the quantum back reaction takes effect. Eq. (5.6) is a solution of the approximated equation of motion neglecting the higher order terms of the fluctuation. If $Q_3(\mathbf{k}, t)$ grows to be large, that approximated equation of motion does not hold because of the back reaction. The solution (5.6) behaves exponentially, and when the time t exceeds τ such that $(h_i m_{\pi}/4) \tau \sim 1$, $Q_3(\mathbf{k}, t)$ grows rapidly. The time τ is given by use of $h_3 = 3\lambda D^2/2m_{\pi}^2$,

$$\tau \sim \frac{8m_{\pi}}{3\lambda D^2}, \quad (6.5)$$

until $t = \tau$, $Q_3(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is not so large. Therefore, the quantum back reaction would not significantly affect if $t < \tau$. As done in Sec. V, when we consider a small amplitude D of $\pi^{(0)}(t)$, the time τ becomes enough long. However, in order to take into account the quantum back reaction rigorously, the formalism including the quantum back reaction fully is needed. In such a formalism, the decay time of the DCC might be obtained.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank H. Hiro-oka, M. Ishihara and H.Minakata for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Anselm, Phys. Lett. B **217**, 169 (1989); A. A. Anselm and M. G. Ryskin, *ibid.* **266**, 482 (1991).
- [2] J.-P. Blaizot and A. Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 246 (1992).
- [3] J. D. Bjorken, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7**, 4189(1992); J. D. Bjorken, Acta Phys. Pol. B **23**, 561 (1992).
- [4] K. L. Kowalski and C. C. Taylor, Case Western Reserve Univ. preprint CWRUTH-92-6, hep-ph/9211282.
- [5] K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B399**, 395 (1993).
- [6] K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B404**, 577 (1993).
- [7] I. I. Kogan, JETP. Lett. **59**, 307 (1994); R. D. Amado and I. I. Kogan, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 190 (1995).
- [8] S. Mrówczyński and B. Müller, Phys. Lett. B **363**, 1 (1995) .
- [9] H. Hiro-Oka and H. Minakata, Phys. Lett. B **425**, 129 (1998); Erratum *ibid.* **434**, 461 (1998); Phys. Rev. C **61**, 044903 (2000).
- [10] D. I. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 117901 (1999).
- [11] M. Ishihara, Phys. Rev. C **62**, 054908 (2000).
- [12] A. Dumitru and O. Scavenius, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 076004 (2000).
- [13] S. Maedan, Phys. Lett. B **512**, 73 (2001).
- [14] Y. Tsue, A. Koike and N. Ikezi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **106**, 807 (2001).
- [15] H. Hiro-Oka and H. Minakata, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 044902 (2001).
- [16] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshiz, *Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, New York, 1960), p.80.